ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER JHJ)

971 WAY CONCERN,	9 April 1997
1 Reject the DEP's current	
anti-degradation program. Ad	00t
the simpler and better standar	ch
of the EPA.	
Sincerely,	
	CE VED
MICHAEL A. ADRAHAMI	MAY - 8 1997
ENVIRONA	MENTAL QUALITY BOOK
?lease reply to ;	
Michael Abraham	
2859 Edgemont Dr.	
Allentown PA 15103	
; i.	

Dear Eviconental Quality Board 971111-9 11110:10

ORIGINAL: #1799
COPIES: COCCODRILLI
TYRRELL
JEWETT
SANDUSKY
WYATTE
REFRESCHAK

ENVIRONMENTAL


I am righting because I have recently heard of the DEP's current anti-degradation proposal. This proposal would lower water quality standards and allow for additional discharges into our best streams. This proposal would also eliminate many streams from qualifying for strong protection. Please rejet this proposal! We do not deserve lower quality water.

I think you should adopt the Clean Water Act. Adopt the simpler and better standards of the EPA.

Please, after reading this letter, send me a reply.

Thanks For Your Time,
Michael Grant

DEP, lo box 8465 Brazel ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: COCCODRILLI TYRRELL Harristany, Pa 17/05 97 AUR-9 MI10: 19 **JEWETT** SANDUSKY BERESCHAK Please reject the DEP's awant ante-degradation proposal tohing can be more important than Respine on waters clear. He wish to hear from you if you feel the same way. The Milward Tamily



Representative l'arole Kubley,


Please reject the DEP's current antidegradation proposal. Please try to adopt the simplese, better standards of the EPA.

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

Please Reply at:

ong hevel quality sold



Thank you, Panda Sel

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER JHJ)

9711.17.27 mil 0:23

4/9/97

To the Environmental Quality Board, flease reject the DEP's current anti-degradation proposal.

> Act Blythe 1164 Clearwood Dr. Allentown PA 18103



TO: THE EQ.B.

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL
JEWETT
SANDUSKY
WYATTE
BERESCHAK

I would like you to take SERIOUS CONSIDERATION

TO REJECT THE D.E.P.'S CURRENT ANTI-DEGRACATION


PROPOSAL THAT WOULD LOWER WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

AND TO ADOPT THE SUPLER, BETER STANDARDS OF THE E.P.A.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION IN THIS MATTER.

Property of the same

SixERELY J



1.7 A10-8 AND: 10

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Robin & Dan Wertz 257 Maple Pt. Dr. Langhorne, PA 19047

EQB DEP P.O. Box 8465 Harrisburgh, PA 17105

REJECT THE DEP'S CURRENT ANTI-DEGRADATION PROPOSAL!

Sincerely.

Robin & Dan Wertz

Tonight, I made a contribution to CLEAN WATER ACTION. I support their agenda to REJECT THE DEP'S, CURRENT ANTI-DEGRADATION PROPOSAL and ADOPT THE SIMPLER, BETTER STANDARDS OF THE EPA. Your reply is eagerly anticipated. Thank you.

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES:

COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE

BERESCHAK

Sincerely,

Marian Carlos Maria

William Deane 86 Egerton Road

Langhorne, PA 19047

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SOARD



p.o. box 8477 • harrisburg, pa. 17105-8477 • (717)787-4526

Environmental Quality Board

April 10, 1997

ORIGINAL:

#1799

COPIES:

COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Mr. Robert E. Nyce, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor, Harristown #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Proposed Rulemaking - Water Quality Amendments - Antidegradation (#7-310)

Dear Mr. Nyce:

The Environmental Quality Board has received comments regarding the above referenced proposed rulemaking from the following:

- 1. Ms. Cheryl Barford
- 2. Mr. James T. Malec

These comments are enclosed for your review. Copies have also been forwarded to the Senate and House Committees. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,


Sharon K. Freeman


Regulatory Coordinator

Enclosure

97HAY 27 IN 5:00

To the property of the propert





COB,

Please reject the Dep's

Current anti-degradation proposal.

Adopt the sempler better

Original: #1799

COPIES: NONE
(PER CAT)

Sincerely, R. Mushko



88

april. 1, 1997 ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE Environmental Quality Board (PER CAT) PO Box 8465 Harrisburg PA 17105 It has come to my attention that DEP is proposing new regulation that would lower water quality standards. I uge you to reject the arti-degradation proposal; Please adapt the simpler, better standards of the EPA. Our environment needs higher standards, to protect it fram further degradation I would appreciate a written respon Thank you for your attention. Sincerely Sharan Russell 2 Jademood Rd devittown PA 1905 Ce

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

Environmental Quality Board 11 19 4/1/97

Reject the DEP's Quarent

enti-degradation proposal.

W Hoffelts



Si Daniel	ORIGINAL: #1799	
	Richard H. Allen COPIES: NONE 5420 Plainfield Ave. Pittsburgh PA 15217 (PER CAT)	2-APRIC 97
57 KW 17 / G	DEAR ÉNUIRONMENTAL QUE	ALITY BOARD.
anami Aanam	A STATE OF THE STA	
History Village	PLEASE REJECT THE	DEPS
	CURRENT ANTI-DEGRAD	ATION PROPOSAL
	# 1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	I WOULD MUCH PREFE	ER You ADM
	THE SHIPLED PLITTED	504,024,026,00
	THE SIMPLER, BETTER	JVANATIOS OF
	THE EPA	DEGELVEN
		APR 8 1997
Pri - Hillian Britania - Albania - A	PLEASE REPLY.	
		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD
	THANK YORK.	
	Since	2629
	Richard	ald Alle
	f (change	

IGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT) L'am opposed to the new regu sergested by the DEP concerning a water quality standards. Please enforce the Crean Water A.X. I'd appriciale a little as to you a response on the new sproposed DEP regulations

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: pril 3, 1997 APR - 8 1997 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

NONE (PER CAT)

To Whom It May Concern:

We are concerned about the changes that have been proposed to water quality regulation. We feel that these changes would lower water quality standards. We would like you to reject the DEP's current anti-degradation proposal and adopt the simpler, better standards of the EPA.

Our waterways are too important to lower our standards in the interest of big business

Thank you for attention to this matter. We are interested in knowing your position on this important matter.

> Respectfully yours Buth arnot

Gerald and Ruth Arndt

3502 Reading Crest Ave.

19605 THE

Reading Pa 19605

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

Jasheere y Cursue 213 Stoneybrirge F. Langhorne PA19047 April 3, 1997

EGB Vept. of Existermental Protection 70 Bex 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

To Whom It May Concern,

took the past sex months I have been teaching my To creade Social Studies spherts about the importance of protecting our environment. Today, I participated be a clear up along the Pelawure Canal, along with To other specients, teachers and volunteers. To protect our winterways we not only need smaller, community efforts, but help show ondegressentatives. Theater reject the DEP's current anti-degreclution proposal Afor the possibility of increased polation should not be an appear.

Streetly,

Moleculary Company of the Company of the

Jeff & Kim Collins 126 Hart Drive Corappolis, PA 15108

OS APRIL 97

TARAMAN AN 9148 EAR EQB,

AS A CONCERNED

OITIZEN AND TAXPAYER OF

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

THE COMMONNEACTH, I AM

TRULY DISTURBED AT THE

DEP PROPOSED REGULATION

CHANCE TO WATER QUALITY

STANDARDS. FUR THE SAKE

OF MY FAMILY, OUR RIVERS

AND STREAMS AND PENNSYL

-VANIA, WE MUST STRONELY

REJECT FURTHER DEGRADATION.

DAMAGE IS NOT WORTH

TEBESTRICE OF PROFIT

TEFF & KIM ALLING

- WE WOULD APPRELIATE

A RESPONSE - THANKS

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

Jodie Maduerio Le15 Elm Ave. Reading PA 19605 4/3/97

EQB/DEP Harrisburg, PA

Please reject the DEP's current anti-degradation proposal.

Sincerely, Jodie Madveno ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE


(PER CAT)

216 Hampton Drive ♦ Langhorne, PA 19047 ♦ 215/750-1057

Lewis M. Bryson

April 3, 1997

Evironmental Quality Board DEP P.O. Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105



To Whom It May Concern:

Please note that we are not in favor of the DEP's current waterway anti-degradation proposal. We would rather see the state adopt the more effective standards of the EPA. This matter is quite important to us; please let us know what you plan to do on this subject. Thank you.

Sincerely

Lewis M. Bryson III Catherine C. Bryson

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

4/3/97

Environmental Quality Board DEP P.O.Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Please help to protect Pennsylvania streams and rivers for future generations. The DEP is proposing new regulations that would lower water quality standards! The proposal would allow additional discharges onto our best streams and would eliminate many streams from qualifying for strong protection.

Thomas Livinghouse 3503 Ardmore Ave. Reading, PA 19605

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

- SANTANINE MINTERNAL QUI TO TO THE SECOND STATE OF THE SECOND STA

pril 4, 1997

610 648 0936

I would like you to reject the DEP's current anti-degradateon proposal. Please adopt the Simples, wetter standards of the

Thankyou for your consideration,



Dear E.Q.B.,

Sincerely,

Any U. Barress 13) Fenneston Rd. Paoli, Pa

19381

P.S. Please Reply

DEAR SIR / MADAM,

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER JHJ)

PLEASE REJECT THE CURRENT DEP. PROPOSAL IF IT EASES CLEAN WATER PEQULATIONS AND LOWERS OUR WATER QUALITY STANDARDS, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE FLOURIDE REMOVED FROM OUR DRINKING WATER.

PLEASE REPLY IF YOU CAN.

Charles Omego

CHARLES DUREPO 924 S. ELIZABETH ST. ALLENTOWN, PA. 18103



Rpul 4, 1997

17102 21 11 000 Box8462 Hownshire Ba

ting the first of the second o

APR

EMVIRONMENTAL QUEL

To whom it may oncern: Recase reject the

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

DEP's current antidegradation proposal,
This would lower water
quality standards.
We need increased
protection for our
waterway.

Sincerely Chend & Isabbut 1072 Oddstone Ro Docatour Pa 14103

Chris & Roberta Rodu ... cer

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

4116 Murray Avenue First Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2704

Home Phone 412-422-4103 Email doc1253@aol.com

97 At 3 22 PH 5: 00

April 04, 1997

Environmental Quality Board DEP PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dear Sir,

The Clean Water Act requires states to protect waterways from further degradation. The DEP is proposing new regulations that would lower water quality standards. The poposed changes would allow additional discharges into our rivers and streams and would eliminate many waterways in Pennsylvania from qualifying from strong protection.

I'm not a "beeding heart" type who is opposed to industry and commerce. However, as a resident of the City of Pittsburgh, I am concerned for the quality of the rivers that run through our city. Please consider the future of our waterways when you consider the current DEP proposals.

I am curious as to your views on this subject and would appreciate written response.

Sincerely,

Christopher A. Rodocker

4/4/97


Six:

There reject the DEP'd current vate - deputation proposal

Junest Ken



Enviorment DSP 16 By Gerald A. Cropp 5 Maple Avenue Paoli, PA 19301



April 4, 1997

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

Environmental Quality Board (EQB) DEP PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

To Whom It May Concern:

STERRITY 111 St 13

I have recently become aware of a proposal by the DEP which would lower water standards in our Commonwealth. As a family man, a taxpayer and a registered voter, any degradation in water quality standards would be strongly opposed by me. I wish to let it be known that as far as my family and I are concerned, the only acceptable change to the current regulations is to raise standards for cleaner water.


Please reply to this letter, as I am interested to read your thoughts and motivations on this matter of great importance to me.

Sincerely,

Gerald A. Cropp

Putsbugh . 201/4, 1997 ORIGINAL: #1799

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE



l'in writing to you to orsk you to Please reject the DEP's current anti-degradation proposal. We need to protect our Waterways from any more degradation

l would like a reply Jeanette L. McCabe 4136 Murray Ave Psh, PA 15217

Thank You

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE ,1pril 4,1997

Attention Environmental Quality Board
DEP. Box 8465
Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dear Sir,

We need to kell the EQB and the

We need standards that protect

EPA that we need standards that protect

our waterways from any more degradation.

Our waterways from any more degradation.

Please reject the DEP's current

Please reject the DEP's current

Onti-degradation proposal. Please

onti-degradation proposal. Please

Send a reply on this issue to:

Kim C. Thiry

4030 Murray twe.


Pgh PA 15217

Pgh PA 15217

Kristin Delaney 959 Kennebec St. 97 APR 22 57 0: 14 Pittsburgh, PA 15217

April 4, 1997

Environmental Quality Board DEP P.O. Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105



ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

We need standards that protect our waterways from any more degradation. I urge you to reject the DEP's current antidegradation proposal. Please adopt the simpler, better standards of the EPA.

Please respond to our concerns.

Sincerely,


Kristin Delaney

4/4/97

Dear Sirs,

Please réject-the DEP's current antidegradation proposal.

> Thank Youra Laura Cregan Tugan 116 Biddle Rd Paoli, PA 19301



9777722 611 S:01 5777722 611 S:01

> ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

> > (PER CAT)

Enaran mutal Quality Board
DEP Box 8465
Pho Box 8465
Herrisburg PA 17105

Morrette MBONES 1122 DebbieLone Allertum, \$2,5105

ORIGINAL: #1799 NONE COPIES: (PER CAT)

Dear Elib, THE STAL QUALITY EDATE Tonight it was brought to my attention the DEP, is proposing ruli regulations that would actually lown water quality in Dennsylvania rollher than raise it As a mother of 2 preschooling it concerns me to hear of such backwardness in the propection of law environment. That is why I am willing to ask that the wrest anti-clogradatrun proposal he rejected and that the I FPA s' standards he adapted

> Smuly Minitish Buth

April 4, 1997

Enveronmental Quality Boa ORIGINAL: #1799 This note is to ask that you please reject the DEP's ourrent anti-degradation propipal. Our waterways should not have to bear the burden of any additional ducharges Ap it is, many of the fish taken in this state consumption to due to excessive har ardons materials in their bodies. Permetting additional pollutants to be introduced will only worsen an already unacceptable situation. Additionally, think of the children that swim in Ahat survive by drinking this water. There are many more lines to think about than a few businessmen's bottom line. proposal. Many lives are counting on you. - Please Reply to: REBECCA L SCHNEIDER 613 WALLACE AVE. APT. 1 Sincerely, POH. PA. 15221

Rebrece J. Sibruder

DIAN 17 M S: 18

April 4, 1997

PA Environmental Quality Board DEP P.O. Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105



ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

Dear Sir:

It is my understanding that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania will be reviewing a proposal by DEP to adopt new regulations that would lower water quality standards. The proposed regulations would allow additional discharges into our best streams and would eliminate many streams from qualifying for strong protection.

I urge you to reject such proposed new regulation. Our citizens need standards that protect our waterways from any further degradation. By doing so will protect the health of our citizens and particularly our children and provide a cleaner environment for everyone to enjoy.

a constituent of the second of

I would appreciate hearing from you on this matter.

Sincerely.

Robert Norum

276 Butterworth Lane

Langhorne, PA 19047

21 Jolind Road Paoli, Pa, 19301 April 4, 1997 DEGETVED

APR - 8 1997

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

Environmental Quality Board DEP PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

97 AL . 17 AL 19 16

Please be advised that I am very concerned about the new regulations that would lower water quality standards. I am opposed to any further pollution or additional discharges into our streams and waterways.

I am requesting our state legislators to vote against any such change.

Sincerely,

Ruth Spincic

17 Maude Circle Paoli, PA 19301 April 4, 1997

Relational SIDN

APR - 8 1997 DENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

Environmental Quality Board DEP, PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

I am writing this letter to urge you to REJECT the current DEP anti-degradation proposal. The welfare of the whole population is dependent on the purity of our waterways. Any lessening of standards is detrimental to our well being.

Sincerely,

S. D. Kramer

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

April 5, 1997

\$7.840.22 PH \$1.50

Environmental Quality Board DEP, PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dear Sir or Madam:

As a citizen, voter, taxpayer and parent in Chester County I recommend that you reject the current DEP anti-degradation proposal. We must maintain the highest standards of clean water. Adopt the simpler, better standards of the Environmental Protection Agency.

We are not interested in allowing additional discharges into our streams and waterways. All streams should remain qualified that have been designated qualified. There is no reason to move backward in this important area that affects us all.

I am personally interested in knowing about your actions in this issue and request a reply to:

Annette Seidenglanz 2257 Georgetown Drive Malvern, PA 19335

Sincerely,

Annette Seidenglanz

97 #20, 22 PH 3: 60

April 7, 1997

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

Environmental Quality Board DEP, P.O. Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dear Sirs:

I am writing to you to ask you to please reject the proposed changes to the DEP water quality standards. We ask that the simpler, better standards of the EPA be adopted to protect waterways from further degradation.

Please send us a reply and let us know what is being done to avert these proposed changes to DEP regulations.

entropies to the second of the

Charlotte Schug 294 Dayleview Road Berwyn, PA 19312

Sincerely,

Charlotte B. Schug

Charlotte B. Schug

\$7.60 FA () \$7.60 FA () \$2 \$0 \$100 \$22 C () \$2 C () \$3 C () \$2 C () \$3 C () \$3 C ()

April 7, 1997

APR 1997

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

Sharon K. Freeman Regulatory Coordinator Environmental Quality Board 15th Floor, RCSOB PO Box 8477 Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

Dear Ms. Freeman,

It has recently come to my attention that the EQB has proposed regulations that revise the Special Protection Waters program. Furthermore, it appears that the EQB is considering adopting the recommendations of the "proindustry" contingent of the reg-neg group.

If this is indeed true, I find it very distressing. You and I both know that industry will always look after its own interests and that it is up to the rest of us to protect the environmental resources that remain in our state.

I am writing to urge the EQB to consider adopting the widely supported recommendations put forward by the "conservation stakeholders" and thereby do its part to preserve the rapidly declining natural stream environment in Pennsylvania.

Sincerely,

Eric H. Affsprung

Lewisburg

2651 Detaca H. allenteum, PA 18103

	97 H. T. 127 (21) 0: 01)	ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE						
-	april 7, 1997	(PER JHJ						
	Exviormental Quality Boar							
Ang Paramanana sa Baga	DEP Po Boy 8465	MAY - 8 IS97						
	Harrisburg, PA 17105	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BE	23.					
	Dear cliw,							
- - - - - - -	Please reject the DEP'S corrend anti-degradations correspond. Please reply to this issue.							
	Jon E Radskoutch							
			William Control					
	·		-					
Tanapat Julyan Kan								

Property of the same of

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER JHJ) Environmental Zuality Dixed Dear Sin'. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BO

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

1010 Old Lancaster Rd. Berwyn, PA 19312-1224

97 APR 22 (EL 3: 66

April 7, 1997

Environmental Quality Board DEP PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

To Whom it May Concern:

I'm writing to ask you not to enact any new regulations which would lower water quality standards in Pennsylvania. Do not allow additional discharges into our streams. Do not eliminate any streams from qualifying for protection. Now is not the time to relax standards. We need standards that will protect our waterways from anymore degradation.

I urge you to reject the DEPs current anti-degradation proposal and adopt the simpler, better standards of the EPA.

Please let me know how you plan to deal with this issue.

Sincerely,

Paula S. Henthorn

Paula S. Henther

1010 Old Lancaster Rd. Berwyn, PA 19312-1224

STACE 22 70 3:00

April 7, 1997

Environmental Quality Board DEP PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105 DEGET WE.

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

To Whom it May Concern:

I'm writing to ask you not to enact any new regulations which would lower water quality standards in Pennsylvania. Do not allow additional discharges into our streams. Do not eliminate any streams from qualifying for protection. Now is not the time to relax standards. We need standards that will protect our waterways from anymore degradation.

I urge you to reject the DEPs current anti-degradation proposal and adopt the simpler, better standards of the EPA.

Please let me know how you plan to deal with this issue.

Sincerely,

Robert C.A. Moore





RODNEY PLATT

CERAMIC TILE CONTRA

1688 VALLEY GREENE ROAD • PAOLI, PA 19301 • (215) 644-9636

april 7,1997

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

Environment Grading Board P.O. Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 12/05

/10 When It May incom,

Please do not lower the stondards for Bennsylvonias water! Short term economic gains and printical advantage inust not destroy our and printical advantage inust not destroy our children, wildlife and beauty. The your heads.

Sincerely, Time Kat



April 8, 1997

p.o. box 8477 • harrisburg, pa. 17105-8477 • (717)787-4526

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES: C

COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Mr. Robert E. Nyce, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor, Harristown #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Proposed Rulemaking - Water Quality Amendments - Antidegradation (#7-310)

Dear Mr. Nyce:

The Environmental Quality Board has received comments regarding the above referenced proposed rulemaking from the following:

- 1. Mr. John Styk
- 2. Mrs. Bernadette Trappler
- 3. Mr. Paul Figueroa
- 4. Ms. Gayle M. Stewart
- 5. Mr. Jack Wishnow
- 6. Ms. MaryLou Gafhney
- 7. Ms. Aloma F. Napali
- 8. Mr. Richard Brebner
- 9. Jon and Tobi Krueger
- 10. Ms. Donna Miller
- 11. Robert P. and Carolyn A. Hogan
- 12. Mr. Gary E. Wertz
- 13. John and Lynda A. Sprague
- 14. Ms. Patricia Morris
- 15. Mr. Michael E. Doddo
- 16. Mr. Michael Grant
- 17. Brian and Nannette Quenzer and Family
- 18. David A. and Cynthia K. Stroud
- 19. Mrs. Eileen B. Wolfe
- 20. Ms. Linda D. Nelson

These comments are enclosed for your review. Copies have also been forwarded to the Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committee and the House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Sharon K. Freeman Regulatory Coordinator

Shown K. Frema

Enclosure





p.o, box 8477 • harrisburg, pa. 17105-8477 • (717)787-4526

April 8, 1997

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES: CO

COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Mr. Robert E. Nyce, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor, Harristown #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Proposed Rulemaking - Water Quality Amendments - Antidegradation (#7-310)

Dear Mr. Nyce:

The Environmental Quality Board has received comments regarding the above referenced proposed rulemaking from the following:

- 1. Ms. Alice Cortez
- 2. Susanne and Darren Bethke
- 3. Ms. Maryann Hamer
- 4. Mr. Fred West
- 5. Mr. William Deane
- 6. Mr. Gregory P. Kay
- 7. Mrs. Margie E. Newton
- 8. Resident
- 9. Mr. Bob Sheppard
- 10. Mr. and Mrs. P. Milovach
- 11. Mr. Robert M. Freeman
- 12. Diane and Sharon Ottinger
- 13. Lisa and James Leedom
- 14. Mr. and Mrs. Pacifico
- 15. Ms. Lorraine Kerchusky
- 16. Ms. Denise H. Walter
- 17. Robin and Dan Wertz
- 18. Ms. Diane Panepresso
- 19. Mr. Frank Gallagher
- 20. Mr. and Mrs. Leonard R. Krapf Jr.

These comments are enclosed for your review. Copies have also been forwarded to the Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committee and the House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Sharon K. Freeman

Regulatory Coordinator

Enclosure





April 8, 1997

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL **JEWETT** SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Mr. Robert E. Nyce, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor, Harristown #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Proposed Rulemaking - Water Quality Amendments - Antidegradation (#7-310)

Dear Mr. Nyce:

The Environmental Quality Board has received comments regarding the above referenced proposed rulemaking from the following:

- Mr. and Mrs. Dean Roskos
- 2. The Wilker Family
- 3. Ms. Kathleen M. Roden
- Ms. Jennifer K. Richardson 4.
- 5. Mr. Edward Bryson
- Ms. Anna Kush 6.
- 7. Mr. Thomas J. Sweenev
- Ms. Mary Lou McElhare 8.
- 9. Ms. Kimberley J. Baum
- 10. Mr. G. William Asay
- 11. Richard and Denise Burns
- 12. Ms. Pauline Chelak
- 13. R. Harrison
- 14. Mr. John Crescenzi
- Ms. Virginia M. Keyes 15.
- 16. Ms. Connie Simon
- Hermine Dranginis 17.
- Ms. Helen Picariello 18.
- 19. Ms. Carole A. Friday
- 20. Mr. Jack Speidel
- 21. Mr. Kevin Hartman
- 22. D. Myers
- Ms. June E. Coulton

These comments are enclosed for your review. Copies have also been forwarded to the Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committee and the House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Sharon K. Freeman Regulatory Coordinator

april 8, 1997

9776122 21 9 13

James Seif
Chairman Environmental Quality Board
P.O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, PA 17110

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

间段

Dear Mr. Seif:

I am writing to express my disappointment with the proposed regulations for the EQB to protect Pennsylvania's waterways, as adopted January 21, 1997.

We need regulations which meet minimum Federal requirements, and existing uses of our streams and rivers need to be protected unconditionally, as would be the case with Federal regulations, and not after a DEP "review of technical data."

"High Quality" protection needs to be extended to all streams where water chemistry is better than existing standards. We need regulations which improve rather than decrease the chance that a stream can become classified "High Quality." The biology test in your proposed rules would have the effect of disqualifying some streams from protection they otherwise would receive using Federal guidelines.

As a nearby resident of Unami Creek (in western Montgomery County), which is under consideration for "Exceptional Value" status before the EQB, I am very disappointed your proposed regulations would have the effect of making it more difficult for streams to be so classified. In addition, your proposed regulations do not properly take into account the role of U.S. endangered species and public ownership of land, and EPA concerns about discharges into our waterways.

You appear to be siding with polluters in your proposed rules, while ignoring most of the public comments following the May 1966 proposal for new Pennsylvania waterway regulations.

Sincerely,

Ed & Linda Aucatt Green Jane, PA marlbrough Twp.



Telephone (610)-695-9028

55 DAYLEVIEW ROAD BERWYN, PA 19312

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES:

NONE (PER CAT)

APRIL 8, 1997

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD (EQB) DEP PO BOX 8465 HARRISBURG, PA 17105

DEAR SIRS:

PLEASE REJECT THE DEP'S CURRENT ANTI-DEGRADATION PROPOSAL FOR WATER QUALITY STANDARDS. PLEASE ADOPT THE SIMPLER, BETTER STANDARDS OF THE EPA. I AM REQUESTING A REPLY TO MY LETTER AND HOW YOU FINALLY DECIDE.

SINCERELY,

MARGARET E. KERR

(combani)

MICHAEL E. KERR

Telephone (610)-695-9028

55 DAYLEVIEW ROAD BERWYN, PA 19312

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

APRIL 8, 1997

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD (EQB) DEP PO BOX 8465 HARRISBURG, PA 17105

DEAR SIRS:

PLEASE REJECT THE DEP'S CURRENT ANTI-DEGRADATION PROPOSAL FOR WATER QUALITY STANDARDS. PLEASE ADOPT THE SIMPLER, BETTER STANDARDS OF THE EPA. I AM REQUESTING A REPLY TO MY LETTER AND HOW YOU FINALLY DECIDE.

SINCERELY.

MICHAEL E. KERR

ORIGINA 1799 COPIES: **NONE** (PER CAT)

April 8, 1997

Environmental Quality Board h.... **DEP** PO Box 8465 Harrisburg, PA 17105

YO ROUMAND THE CHALITY BY

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is in regards to the present condition of the waterways in the state of Pennsylvania. I strongly disagree with the current movement towards easier and more pollution in our waterways. I want my voice to be heard with the message - reject the DEP's current anti-degradation proposal.

I feel it is your responsibility to adopt better standard of the EPA.

I would appreciate a reply as to what will be done as to regards to this issue.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Regina M. Bortz 2843 Edgemont Drive

Regina M. Dork

Allentown, PA 18103

W. Robert brown 247 Norsam Drive Langhorne, Pennsylvania 19047

97 / 17 - 6 17 5: 1.6

10: PAEQB

ORIGINAL: #1799

COCCODRILLI COPIES:

SANDUSKY

Ageil Hu OCP's current auti-depadation programed

Please tell them to reject the DEP's current ANTI-DEGRADATION proposal!

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES:

COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY

WYATTE BERESCHAK

Also, please send me a reply! SASE enclosed.

Thank you!
Brian and Nannette Quenzer and family
292 Barnsbury Road
Langhorne, PA 19047

Duenze (

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD



April 9, 1997

ORIGINAL: #1799

p.o. box 8477 • harrisburg, pa. 17105-8477 • (717)787-4526

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT

SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Mr. Robert E. Nyce, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor, Harristown #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Proposed Rulemaking - Water Quality Amendments - Antidegradation (#7-310)

Dear Mr. Nyce:

The Environmental Quality Board has received comments regarding the above referenced proposed rulemaking from the following:

- 1. L. Cardarell
- 2. Germaine Schumann
- 3. Ms. Ellin Wymard
- 4. Regina and Rick Kukulski
- 5. Ms. Penelope G. Colodny
- 6. Ms. Rose Hudson
- 7. Ms. Andrea Piacentino

These comments are enclosed for your review. Copies have also been forwarded to the Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committee and the House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Sharon K. Freeman

Regulatory Coordinator

Enclosure



COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

BERESCHAK
PA. Environmental Quality Board,
BANGARAN TARAK BANGARAN TARA
Please reject the DEP's corrent
anti-degradation Proposal. Adopt the
simpler better standards of the EP.A.
Please peply to my letter so I know
where we stand.
Donn A Millen
129 Mill Puno Place
Langhorne Pa. 19047
,
TO BE BUY E
MAR_3 1997
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY 86 -30
ENALUGIMENTAL GOVERNMENTAL GOVE

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL

JEWETT SANDUSKY

WYATTE

WYATTE BERESCHAK

BUARD MEMBER:

\$7 AD 11-9 AD 100 19

PEJECT THE DEP'S CURRENT

ANTI-DEGRIDATION PROPOSAL!

MR. WEST

PLEASE REPLY

FRED WEST

203 BATEMAN RD.

LANGHORNE PA, 19047

2

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

To the Environmental Quality Board.

Dep. P.o. B. + 8465, Harribuy, Ca 17105

and Arthur and La Mathagailtean Ar Please reject the DEP'S Current

ante-de gudation proposal and

adopt the simple, better Standard

of the EPA.

A Concerned Citizen. Florence Zocearelli Boy 186, Part Pa. 19301

Devould like a reply to this letter. Thoule you.



97 ASD +8 (A) IC: 18

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK


Dear Sir,

I am writing this to let you know that I would like you to reject the Dep's current antidegradation proposal. I would like you to adopt the simpler better standards of the EPA. YOu may contact myself at 226 Dorset Court. Langhorne Pa, 19047.

Thank you,

Gary E. Wertz

Dars Edit



COPIES:

COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY

WYATTE BERESCHAK

97 MR-9 Alin: 19

Environmental Quality Board,

Please reject the DEP's current anti-degradation proposal. My address is included, please reply with your intention.

Gregory P. Kay

10 Harbor Rd. Levittown Pa.

19056

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SOARD

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Lisa Leedom 12 Trail RD Levittown PA 19056

Environmental Equality Board
DEP PO Box 8465
Harrisburg PA 17105

To whom it may concern:

The Clean Water Act requires states to protect waterways from further degredation. I want you to REJECT the DEP's current anti-degredation proposal.

97441-9 7050-19

I would also like a response. Thank you for your time.

Lisa Leedom

ETTERNAL ENTER

COPIES:

COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

James Leedom 12 Trail RD Levittown PA 19056

Environmental Equality Board DEP PO Box 8465 Harrisburg PA 17105

To whom it may concern:

The Clean Water Act requires states to protect waterways from further degredation. I want you to REJECT the DEP's current anti-degredation proposal.

I would also like a response. Thank you for your time.

James Leedom
James Leedom

ENVIRONMENTAL SECTION

FROM: JERRY JUDGE

974.0.22 11 9 14

4/9/97

ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE (PER CAT)

Reject the DES curent anti-degredation proposil. Juny & Denisa July 1737 Orduridous 5T ALLEN, DWN, BA 18103 Please regul tal to me so we have you received to the !

and the state of t

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

COPIES: COCCODRILLI

TYRRELL

SANDUSKY WYATTE

BERESCHAK

RECEIVED

97 MAR 28 AH 10: 12 To: MR JAMES SELT

CHAIRMAN ENVIRONMENTAL Quality BOARd

FR: John L. STYK t.O. Box 147

Buck Hill Falls, Pa 18323

RE: Proposed ANTIDEGRADATION Regulations

Conscern's with the proposed Antidegradation Regulations. THESE proposed Rules were. Adopted by the E. Q. B. On JANUARY 21, 1997 THESE proposed Rules do not need the MINIMUM Federal Requirements, AND ARE weaker than the program Already in PLACE, THESE Regulations will not protect Pennsy Luanua's maters Frankdegradation ALL STREams where water CHEM-

ISTRY IS better than STANdards, SHould be given High Quality Protection

AS IN the Federal Regulation, not AFTERA DEP Review of Technical duta.

THE BIDLOGY TEST IS NOT MAN dated by Federal Regulation. A STREAM night Fail THE Biology Test because one parameter is IN VIOLATION - EPA STATED IN 178 FINAL Rule THAT A VIOLATION OF THE PARAMETER SHOULD not disqualify as Teean From High quality Protection

ORIGINAL: #1799
COPIES: COCCODRILLI
TYRRELL
JEWETT
SANDUSKY
WYATTE
BERESCHAK

page #1 THE E.P.A. CANNOT Aprove this Rule FOR A CHEMISTRY AND BIOLOGY TEST understhis program STREAMS HAVE A Less Chance to become High Quality than unper the Christ brodram STREAM ALSO have Less ways to become Exceptional value, throw they do under THE CURRANT PROPRANL AND despite the NUMEROUS EXHAUPLES IN the definition THERE IS ONLY one way FOR A STREAM to become EV IT IS Especially Troubling to me that DER 13 Taking away Penn-Sylvania And U.S. Endangered Species AS A Consideration For E.V. KLSE the Removal of Any Consideration of the public ownership of the LAND DEP, disregar ded the MAJ-ORITY OF THE Public Comment they coll-ECTED AFTER the MAY 1996 DRAFT PROposed Rule making fino Clearly Sided with polluters, THESE proposed Regrelations should be Rejected AND A new proposal prepared and voted on AS Proposed Rule making. THIS PROPOSEL Is way out of Line! WHEN IS D.E.P. going to START to protect the waters of THE Common wealth as this great Watwal Resource stould be



ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: NONE

(PER CAT)

April 9, 1997

la suria: in caClar National and an

Re: Anti-Degradation Proposal

I am writing to express my opinion on the current anti-degradation proposal:

WE MUST REJECT THE DEP'S CURRENT ANTI-DEGRADATION PROPOSAL!

Thank you.

Joseph S. Sutton III

DECELVE

APR 1001

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

						3-27	-91
	Property Con	70	When i	+ sky	Concern,		
	97 MR - 9 AND: \$						
	47.45 REALW (5.1.), 5.10		Reju	et the	CEP'S C	URLEN	7
	Ž.				ion pr		
ORIGINAL COPIES:	: #1799 COCCODRILLI TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY			se Reply	,	0	
•	WYATTE BERESCHAK		7		Succeedy		
		المحمد مسيد محمد مسيد محمد		K	ich Breb	ver	
	·	<u> </u>	Park Constitution of the C	1 Day of the second		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
					<u></u>		· -
		Envir	onmental	July	1 Board	<u>.</u>	- <u>-</u>
		TO	show it	NOW	covery		
		Pleas	~ (0. ocd	- +la - >	70'	ee; M	= 1
		arti-	destagat	on brok	posal 19	ad 20V	the
		Simple	se reject degradan er better	stand	ords al	te 8	A.
			~IWC	my you	Si Gaffin		
	one in the bisect and is to the time of the same	Please	ieply	SUC PO	axson k	avie .	
			,	اهيما	noine Pa	19047	• •
					**************************************	-	

To whom it may concern, Reject the CEP'S CUREENT ANTI-degradation proposAL ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: COCCODRILLI pleise Reply. TYRRELL **JEWETT** SANDUSKY Sincerely Rich Brebner WYATTE **BERESCHAK** Environmental availy Board To whom It now concern Please reject the Dep's where simple, better standards of the Thank you Nay Low Gethrey prease reply SIT Paxsa Lane Langhoine Pa 19047

Cect requires states rotect materials from further degradation Please refect the DEP's Current anti degradation proposal which would allow additional discharges into our beautiful streams We need strong Standards that will rotectour waterways from this degradation ORIGINAL: #1799 COPIES: COCCODRILLI

and the first of the section of the section of the section of

TYRRELL JEWETT SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

as a new Grandman I want to be proud of our system and - know that you will protect our environment Do my Grandson and his children will live in a freastiful as well as healthy wards Please write me as to the results of this issue. Bless for all in your Choices, Making the sight ones for our future. Virgina Yeyes

and the second of the second o



March 31, 1997

ORIGINAL: #1799

COPIES:

COCCODRILLI

JEWETT TYRRELL SANDUSKY WYATTE BERESCHAK

Mr. Robert E. Nyce, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 14th Floor, Harristown #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Proposed Rulemaking - Water Quality Amendments - Antidegradation (#7-310)

Dear Mr. Nyce:

The Environmental Quality Board has received comments regarding the above referenced proposed rulemaking from the following:

- 1. Elizabeth L. Leary
- 2. Cathy Pastor
- 3. James R. Hild
- 4. Edward Russell
- 5. Tim and Debra Kusters
- 6. Steven Meyer Swiss
- 7. W. Robert Brown
- 8. Craig Jensen
- 9. Mrs. L. Guogas
- 10. Sue Goodman

These comments are enclosed for your review. Copies have also been forwarded to the Senate Environmental Resources and Energy Committee and the House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Sharon K. Freeman

Regulatory Coordinator

Enclosure



March 31, 1997

COPIES:

NONE

Down Maden Ser of the Enduranted Quality Goard,

I am requesting strongly that you reject the DEl's current parti-degradation Desposal.

626 Husting St. Hitsburgh, 14 15206

